



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/540,105	03/31/2000	Jean-Claude Sarfati	11345/011001	1758
22511 7	590 04/02/2003			
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CHRIST	TOPHER E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	13
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	1 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		pri
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/540,105	SARFATI, JEAN-CLAUDE
, .a.,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher E. Lee	2189
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2003 FAILS TO PL. Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ed to avoid abandonment of this a ther: (1) a timely filed amendment Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to a to which places the application in
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)	1
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mab. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	e of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date s y expire later than SIX MONTHS from the PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (a). The date on which the petition under the period of extension and the corresponding of date of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP or 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension or reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (pellant's Brief must be filed within (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi	the period set forth in issal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	tered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	re further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) requapplication in condition for allowance because	uest for reconsideration has beer use: <u>New Issues, which are not ente</u>	n considered but does NOT place the ered.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	٦.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl	ndment(s) a)⊡ will not be entere aims would be rejected is provide	ed or b) will be entered and an ed below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,9,13,20-23,25-29,31</u>	,34-36,39 and 43-53.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: No		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	tatement(e)(PTO-1349) Paper N	lo(s)
10. Other:		
SI	MARK H. RINEHART UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	CEL/ OEC

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues, which were not previously addressed in the Final Rejection. Those are (1) a method of downloading software in native code to a receiver/decoder, (2) storing a software in native code into a receiver/decoder.